Influence of vision on masticatory muscles function: surface electromyographic evaluation
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Summary

The role of the ocular disorders (OD) in pathogenesis of MMp is still controversial issue. Ocular arc reflexes (OAR) may involve changes in head and neck posture and generate modifications of contraction resulting in muscle contraction and finally weakness. sEMG tests were performed on 28 patients (13 with masticatory muscles pain and myopia/15 healthy) in rest position with eyes open and eyes closed. Patients group control (healthy patients) showed no significance difference in sEMG record in open/close test. In non healthy patients there were great differences between the sEMG recordings with eyes closed and open. Temporalis and masseters showed a statistical difference of means activation in two tests (temporalis p = 0.0010; masseters = 0.0006). Great difference was in means muscles activation between open eyes healthy test and non healthy. No difference in close eyes test was evaluated in temporalis and masseters close test in the two groups. The exact causes of MMp are still unknown. The role how ocular disorders (OD) may play an important role in pathogenesis of MMp is still a controversial issue. Ocular arc reflexes (OAR) may involve changes in head and neck posture and generate modifications of contraction resulting in muscle contraction and finally weakness.
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Introduction

Masticatory muscles (MM) function is influenced by many factors such as postural problems, traumas, psycho-physiological issues and occlusal alterations. Masticatory muscles pain (MMp) is a condition encountered in temporo-mandibular joint disorder (TMD) and in head/neck facial pain. Its pathogenesis is still unclear (Fig. 1) (1,2). Several studies have described how the level of electromyographic activity (EMG) in the pericranial muscle is higher in patients with MMp than in healthy controls in rest position (3-6). The interaction between muscle pain and muscle activity at rest is still unclear because the EMG activity in muscle pain patients has been shown to be higher as compared to control subjects (7,8). The maximal voluntary contraction (MVC) in painful muscles is decreased in patients with temporomandibular disorder (7,8). Other symptoms related to MMp are often acoustic alterations, vertigo, nausea, salivary disturbance,

Figure 1. Possible pathogenesis of MMs.
disfagy and sometimes language alterations (9). The muscular stress is often treated with an oral appliance such as resin splint which helps reduce clenching (10-12).

The role how ocular disorders (OD) may play an important role in pathogenesis of MMp is still a controversial issue. Ocular arc reflexes (OAR) may involve changes in head and neck posture and generate modifications of contraction resulting in muscle contraction and finally weakness. The aim of this study is to evaluate the influence of OD in MM contractions, in patients with masticatory muscles pain and in healthy subjects, using surface Electromyography (sEMG).

**Materials and methods**

In this study, sEMG tests were performed on 28 patients (10 males and 18 females, ages 16 to 48) at School of Dentistry, University of Foggia. The participants and their parents provided written informed consent to be involved in the study. The EMG activity at rest and clench in the temporal and masseter muscles was recorded in two groups of patients with different conditions: myopic patients and masticatory muscles pain; asymptomatic subjects was used as a control. On each patient the RDC questionnaire was submitted (13).

Patients’ criteria selection was as follows: Angle’s Class I Molar (i.e. normal intermaxillary dental relationships), good symmetry of dental arches, no refractive errors, patients’ anamnesis of temporomandibular disease (TMD) or facial pain history absence, absence of neuromuscular pathology, and no history of neuromuscular pathology, absence of neuropathic or myofascial pain, absence of any anterior or posterior/lateral cross-bite; no signs or symptoms of TMD (according to the RDC questionnaire) (13). Subjects taking drugs other than nonsteroid inflammatory drugs, paracetamol or minor opioid analgesics were excluded, as well as subjects presenting with systemic pathologies such as diabetes, and subjects suffering from generalised diffuse muscle and/or articular pain. The non-healthy group (13 patients; mean age 26.5 ± 6.9) suffering from either masticatory muscles pain (MMp) and myopia was enrolled in the study. Examinations were performed using a standardized form in which the following were listed: history of the diseases, palpation at rest, in maximal voluntary contraction and during mandibular motions of the masticatory and neck muscles, palpation of temporomandibular joint (TMJ), assessment of spontaneous and triggered pain using a visual analogue scale (VAS), mandibular motions recorded by an electrognathograph as suggested by Okeson (14).

**Surface electromyography evaluation**

The tests, performed by means of Biopack electromyography. This diagnostic test provided information on the functional status of the craniomandibular neuromuscular system and was useful in determining the proper cranio-mandibular relationship.

To position the electrodes, subjects were requested to close their mouths and clench (Fig. 2) (15,16). To reduce electrode impedance, the skin was carefully cleaned prior to electrode positioning, and recordings were performed 5-6 min later, allowing the conductive paste to adequately moisten the skin surface (17). The analogue sEMG signal was amplified, digitised, and digitally filtered. The instrument was directly interfaced with a computer, which presented the data graphically. The signals were averaged over 25 ms, with muscle activity of the four tested muscles expressed in microvolts (μV).

The sEMG test was performed on each patient in resting (i.e. no voluntary muscle contraction and no dental contact) conditions and in different ocular states (i.e. eyes open and eyes closed). In particular, the sEMG test was performed in patients sitting, with their ocular plane parallel to the floor, after measuring their body temperature, and with a room temperature of 26°C. No other interferences were present in the room.

An eight channel surface Electromyograph was used on 4 groups of muscles: temporalis and masseters (masticatory muscles) and the digastrics and sternocleidomastoids (neck muscles). On sEMG test muscle contractions were represented on 5 window displays and were calculated in microvolts (μV). On view displays it is possible to see the activity level of each monitored muscle. Each column of numbers represents the average muscle activity (μV) throughout a marked region and the time for the muscle to exceed the activity level. This is measured in milliseconds.

![Figure 2. Patient with surface electromyography electrodes.](image)
Electromyography gives the operator the effective RMS (Root Mean Squared) value. RMS is calculated as the square root of the medium power in a date time interval \( (X_{RMS} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{T} \int x^2(t) \, dt}) \). The Averaged EMG display shows a rectified average of the muscle signals which are contained within the zoom cursor. The height of the graph ("mountain peaks") represents the activity of the muscle averaged every 25 milliseconds. The numbers below represent the average firing strength of each muscle \((\mu V)\). All tests were performed for 10 seconds duration.

Statistical analysis

A statistical analysis of data with open and close eyes in healthy and non healthy were done. Data were evaluated on statistical "GraphPad" software performing a Paired t-test. Statistical significance was set at 0.05.

Results

Control group showed no significant difference in sEMG records in open/close tests. Temporalis activation (right and left mean) in two tests had the same range of activation. The medians do not differ significantly (P-Value = 0.3976).

Masseters activation (right and left mean) in healthy subjects, in open/close tests had no significant change in activation (open 3.200 \( \mu V \); close 2.600 \( \mu V \)). Values of 2.0/3.0 \( \mu V \) when recorded with the mandible at rest are generally accepted as indicating muscle posturing. The medians do not differ significantly (P-Value = 0.3274) (Tab. 1).

In patients with muscles suffering (non-healthy) there were differences between the sEMG recordings with close eyes and open. MM increased their work by vision. Temporalis showed great difference of means activation in two tests. In open eyes test mean of activation was 23.85 \( \mu V \). This value was greater than mean in close eyes 3.538 \( \mu V \). The medians differ significantly (P-Value < 0.0001) (Tab. 1).

Masseters changed their activity in close eyes test in respect to open eyes test. Non-healthy subjects showed a mean of activation in open eyes (24.46 \( \mu V \)) greater than close eyes test (4.923 \( \mu V \)). The medians differ significantly (P-Value < 0.0001).

Authors evaluated open eyes means of each muscle between healthy and non-healthy subjects. There was a great difference in masseters means between open eyes healthy test and non-healthy test. Healthy showed masseters mean of activation of 3.200 \( \mu V \) while non-healthy subjects 24.46 \( \mu V \). The medians do not differ significantly (P-Value < 0.0001).

In open eyes temporalis presented a substantial difference of activation as well (healthy 4.067 \( \mu V \), non-healthy 23.85 \( \mu V \)). The medians differ significantly (P-Value < 0.0001).

Authors observed a significant variation in switching from eyes closed to eyes open in all non-healthy patients.

An Electromyographic test in open and close eyes and in rest jaw position was conducted. During the test an error occurred because some patients closed their eyes. Authors observed how 4 subjects during physiologic open/close eyes produced an electromyographic image that was unusually. Every open/close movement induced a great increase of electromyographic trace. Authors did not include this data in statistical analysis because only a small sample presented this phenomena.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SEM</th>
<th>Median</th>
<th>Min</th>
<th>Max</th>
<th>95 % CI</th>
<th>p-Value (pairing means Test)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Temporalis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>activation ((\mu V))</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>healthy</td>
<td>open</td>
<td>4.067</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>24.000 24.000</td>
<td>2.374-5.759 2.374-5.759</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>close</td>
<td>3.567</td>
<td>0.7485</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>21.000 21.000</td>
<td>2.036-5.097 2.036-5.097</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Masseter</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>activation ((\mu V))</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>healthy</td>
<td>open</td>
<td>3.200</td>
<td>2.511</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>12.000 12.000</td>
<td>2.263-4.137 2.263-4.137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>close</td>
<td>2.600</td>
<td>2.298</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>11.000 11.000</td>
<td>1.742-3.458 1.742-3.458</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temporalis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>activation ((\mu V))</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>non healthy</td>
<td>open</td>
<td>23.85</td>
<td>6.807</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>128.000 128.000</td>
<td>9.823-37.869 9.823-37.869</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>close</td>
<td>3.538</td>
<td>0.7590</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>17.000 17.000</td>
<td>1.975-5.102 1.975-5.102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Masseter</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>activation ((\mu V))</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>non healthy</td>
<td>open</td>
<td>24.46</td>
<td>5.708</td>
<td>15.00</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>100.000 100.000</td>
<td>12.703-36.22 12.703-36.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>close</td>
<td>4.923</td>
<td>1.105</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>21.000 21.000</td>
<td>2.647-7.199 2.647-7.199</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SEM: Standard error of means; CI: Confidence Interval; Significance level: p < 0.05
Discussion

The purpose of the authors was to evaluate if ocular disorders (OD) may influence MM activity and produce masticatory muscles pain (MMP). The MMP pathogenesis is still unclear. Its association with OD is an actually item of discussion in literature research. The association of upper activation of the muscles in rest position and the OD was observed (18). It was evaluated a modification of EMG activity at rest with closed eyes in patients with cranio-cervical dysfunction (19) and in patients with OD (20). No significant difference of EMG over the anterior temporalis area at mandible rest position comparing eyes closed with eyes open condition in young healthy people with normocclusion and without visual defects (21).

Authors evaluated MM contraction in healthy and non healthy (i.e. patients suffering of facial pain) subjects by use of sEMG. In the present paper authors observed no difference in healthy patients without OD in both conditions open/close eyes and in patients with ocular disorders as myopia the modifications in open eyes are statistically significative when paired in close condition both for temporalis and masseters rest evaluation. The activation of the masticatory muscles in open eyes was greater in patients with OD than healthy subjects; no difference was observed in close eyes test in two evaluated groups.

It is well known that ocular vision (OV) has an important role in controlling body equilibrium and movements. Vestibulo-ocular reflex and ocular reflex through substantia reticularis influences masticatory and postural muscles. In recent years, some authors have tried to discover if OV plays a role in MMP pathogenesis. Neuro-physiological sources show how there is a strong connection between the various parts of the nervous system for some types of involuntary reflexes. Control of conscious and subconscious movements and some functions are modified by neurologic sensitive afferent reflexes (22).

OV may influence many types of muscular activity by activating neurologic sensitive reflexes. It is well known that when the ocular globes stir, all ocular muscles are stimulated. At the same time there is a neurologic reflex that induces all the neck muscles to change position for a better view of the object in interest. Some fibres coming from the macula do not reach the visual cortex of the brain but directly influence postural mechanisms of the body (23,24).

Anatomical researches show how the Optic Nerve starts from the retinal photoreceptors and stretches to corpus geniculatum laterale and then continues to parts 17/18/19 of Brodmann’s area of lobo occipitalis (25). Some optic nerve fibres don’t follow the same route through corpus geniculatum laterale but instead...

Table 2. Results of sEMG open/close test between healthy and non-healthy subjects.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SEM</th>
<th>Median</th>
<th>Min</th>
<th>Max</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>p-Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Temporalis</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>activation (μV)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>open</strong></td>
<td>Healthy</td>
<td>4.067</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>2.000</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>24.000</td>
<td>2.374-5.759</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non healthy</td>
<td>23.85</td>
<td>6.807</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>128.0</td>
<td>9.823-37.869</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>close</strong></td>
<td>Healthy</td>
<td>3.567</td>
<td>0.7485</td>
<td>2.000</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>21.000</td>
<td>2.036-5.097</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non healthy</td>
<td>3.538</td>
<td>0.7590</td>
<td>2.000</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>17.00</td>
<td>1.975-5.102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Masseter</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>activation (μV)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>open</strong></td>
<td>Healthy</td>
<td>3.200</td>
<td>2.511</td>
<td>2.000</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>12.000</td>
<td>2.263-4.137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non healthy</td>
<td>24.46</td>
<td>5.708</td>
<td>15.00</td>
<td>2.000</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>12.71-36.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>close</strong></td>
<td>Healthy</td>
<td>2.600</td>
<td>2.298</td>
<td>2.000</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>11.000</td>
<td>1.742-3.458</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non healthy</td>
<td>4.923</td>
<td>1.105</td>
<td>2.000</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>21.00</td>
<td>2.647-7.199</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SEM: Standard error of means; CI: Confidence Interval; Significance level: p < 0.05

Figure 3. Possible neurologic connection. Corpus geniculatum laterale CGL; nucleus centralis (NC); nucleus rubrum (NR); substantia reticularis (SR); nucleus motorius nervi trigemini (N mo V); ganglius trigemini (GT); apparatus sensitivus nervi trigemini (ASV). [modified from Francis Hartmann, Gerard Cucchi. Le disfunzioni cranio-mandibolari (SADAM). Ed. Springer, 1997]
go to the superior colliculi via the brachium of colliculi. From the superior colliculi, fibres project to substantia reticuli and the reflex ends in nucleus motorius nervi trigemini (Fig. 3) (26). It is probable that this type of arc reflex in patients, with OD, unevaluated is overexpressed to generate a strong MM contraction that may result finally in pain.

Conclusion

sEMG evaluation showed how no modifications in open/close tests was presented in healthy subjects. Non-healthy subjects presented great modification in open/close tests. These findings were of great interest in patients with unexplainable masticatory muscles pain. The exact causes of MMp are still unknown; peripheral myofascial mechanisms and central dysregulation of pain processing structures play a role in MMp pathogenesis but their relative weight both with the frequency of pain and among patients is still a controversial issue.
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