Pediatric patients tolerance: a comparative study about using of Er:YAG laser and self-adhesive flowable composite for treatment of primary decayed teeth
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Summary

Aim. To verify the tolerance of children aged between 3 and 8 years, having class I caries and treated with Er:YAG Laser in association with a new self-adhesive flowable resin in comparison with a high-speed diamond bur and a conventional flowable resin treatment. Methods. A group of 80 healthy children (43 male and 37 female) ranging in age from 3 to 8 years, who had been diagnosed with at least one active occlusal non-cavitated superficial carious lesions in first or second deciduous molars, were selected for the present study. They were divided in 4 groups: group A: Class I occlusal cavities prepared using an Er:YAG laser and a self-adhesive flowable resin; Group B: Class I occlusal cavities prepared using an Er:YAG laser and a conventional flowable resin; Group C: Class I occlusal cavities prepared using a high-speed diamond bur and a self-adhesive flowable resin; Group D: Class I occlusal cavities prepared using a high-speed diamond bur and a conventional flowable resin. Before and after the treatments the patient tolerance was tested with the modified Wong-Baker pain level scale. Results. In the first group, the tolerance rate was 95% with 0 score (no hurt) for 19 patients; in Group D, the tolerance rate was 75%. Just one child of group D experienced hurting worst because of non cooperative patient. Conclusion. From these results it emerged that, although the limits of the study, Er:Yag laser in association with self-adhering composite, is very effective in pediatric dentistry and is a good treatment option especially for non cooperative patients.
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Introduction

Minimal invasive dentistry has been promoted with the development of new adhesive materials so to preserve healthy tooth tissue. It has allowed to complete smaller preparations by minimizing the requirement for retention and resistance form (1). Therefore, alternative methods, such as lasers, have been suggested for caries cavity preparation. Lasers have been used in dentistry for more than 20 years (2). Erbium: Yttrium- Aluminum Garnet (Erbium:YAG) lasers offers an alternative to conventional bur preparation with minimal tissue loss thanking to its 0.8mm spot beam (3, 4). Erbium:Yag lasers emits energy in the wavelength of 2.94 μm and is well absorbed by all biological tissues, including enamel and dentin (5). Once light from the laser is absorbed, it is converted to heat. The overheated water vaporizes and causes micro-explosions of tooth fragments without the risk of micro- and macrofractures, which have been observed when conventional rotating instruments are used (6). So it is very effective for enamel and dentin etching, caries removal and cavity preparation of primary and permanent teeth (3, 7-9). It determines a reduction of patient discomfort according to the absence of contact between rotary instruments and tooth that allows an atraumatic treatment without noise, pressure and vibration (6). Then reduced need for local anesthesia takes advantage for collaboration and tolerance of patients, above all for pediatric patients (10). It has been found that laser irradiated surfaces show a rough, clean surface without smear layer covering bur prepared cavities. This could be more favorable for the adhesion of restorative materials (10-11). Actually flowable resin composites are the most used materials due to their fluid injectability and non-stickiness characteristics (12). Recently, new self-adhering flowable resins have been developed. They have shown greater usefulness in uncooperative patients. Yazici et al. have also found in vitro that Laser treatment increased the dentin bonding values of the self-adhesive flowable resin (13). The aim of this study was to verify the tolerance of children aged...
between 3 and 8 years, having class I caries and treated with Er:YAG Laser in association with a new self-adhesive flowable resin in comparison with a high-speed diamond bur and a conventional flowable resin treatment.

Materials and methods

Selection Criteria

A group of 80 healthy children (43 male and 37 female) ranging in age from 3 to 8 years, who had been diagnosed with at least one active occlusal non-cavitated superficial carious lesions in first or second deciduous molars, were selected for the present study. Exclusion criteria were the presence of frank occlusal cavitation, poor oral hygiene, serious systemic diseases and bruxism. A written informed consent was obtained from all parents of little patients. The research was conducted in full accordance with the World Medical Association Declaration of Helsinki and the research protocol and consent form for the current study were reviewed and approved by the Medical Ethics Committee of Sapienza University of Rome. Caries lesions in the selected sites were assessed by visual inspection performed with patients positioned in a dental chair with reflector light, air/water spray and a plane buccal mirror using the visual-kerated superficial carious lesions in first or second deciduous molars, were selected for the present study. Exclusion criteria were the presence of frank occlusal cavitation, poor oral hygiene, serious systemic diseases and bruxism. A written informed consent was obtained from all parents of little patients. The research was conducted in full accordance with the World Medical Association Declaration of Helsinki and the research protocol and consent form for the current study were reviewed and approved by the Medical Ethics Committee of Sapienza University of Rome. Caries lesions in the selected sites were assessed by visual inspection performed with patients positioned in a dental chair with reflector light, air/water spray and a plane buccal mirror using the visual-ranked method developed by Ekstrand et al. (14) under standardized conditions. They patients enrolled were divided randomly into 4 groups of 20 children each:

Group A: Class I occlusal cavities were prepared using an Er:YAG laser (Fidelis Plus II, Emmeciquattro Fotona, Italy) with wavelength 2,940 nm, 200 mJ energy and 15 Hz and a self-adhesive flowable resin (Vertise Flow, Kerr Corp, Orange CA, USA).

Group B: Class I occlusal cavities were prepared using an Er:YAG laser (Fidelis Plus II, Emmeciquattro Fotona, Italy) with wavelength 2,940 nm, 200 mJ energy and 15 Hz and a conventional flowable resin (Premise Flow, Kerr Corp).

Group C: Class I occlusal cavities were prepared using a high-speed diamond bur (835/010-4ML, Diatech Dental AG, Heerbrugg, Switzerland) under constant water cooling and a self-adhesive flowable resin (Vertise Flow, Kerr Corp, Orange CA, USA).

Group D: Class I occlusal cavities were prepared using a high-speed diamond bur (835/010-4ML, Diatech Dental AG, Heerbrugg, Switzerland) under constant water cooling and a conventional flowable resin (Premise Flow, Kerr Corp).

Visual and tactile feedback from an explorer was used to determine the end of caries removal. No additional “extension for prevention” and no visible preparation of undercuts was performed after the lesions were completely excavated. The etching was performed for 15 seconds with a 37.5% phosphoric acid etchant (Gel Etchant, Kerr, Orange, CA, USA) then rinsed for 15 seconds with an air-water spray and dried for 5 seconds, leaving the dentin slightly moist. All the flowable resin composites were applied according to the manufacturer’s recommendations. For the conventional flowable resin the adhesive used (Optibond Solo Plus, Kerr, Orange, CA, USA) was applied onto the dentin surface according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Figs. 2, 3). All the procedures were performed without local anesthesia. All the restorations were done by the same operator (DM) with cotton roll isolation and a chairside assistant. Of the 40 laser-prepared restorations, 29 were placed in lower molars and 11 in upper molars. In bur-prepared cavities, 24 restorations were placed in lower molars and 16 in upper molars. Before and after the treatments the patient tolerance was tested with the modified Wong-Baker pain level scale (15) (Fig. 1). A descriptive statistics was used to evaluate the results.

Results

The results of the present study are summarized in Table 1. Just one child of group D experienced hurting worst because of non cooperative patient. In the first group, where Class I occlusal cavities were prepared using an Er:YAG laser and a self-adhesive flowable resin, the tolerance rate was 95% with 0 score (no hurt) for 19 patients; in the second group, where Class I occlusal cavities were prepared using an Er:YAG laser and a conventional flowable resin the tolerance rate was 90%; in the third group, where Class I occlusal cavities were prepared using a high-speed diamond bur and a self-adhesive flowable resin, the tolerance rate was 80%; in the last group, where Class I occlusal cavities were prepared using a high-speed diamond bur and a conventional flowable resin, the tolerance rate was 75%.

Discussion and conclusion

Anxiety in Dentistry is frequent and includes both children and adults. It has been found that most of dental fears origin probably from mismanagement by the dentists during childhood (16). Nature of pain is variable and depends on objective and subjective factors (17). Until children reach school age, they cannot differentiate between pain and anxiety so it’s very important to manage pain and fear successfully (18). The use of laser can be an efficient strategic therapy in pediatric dentistry due to minimal invasion (19) although no statistical differences were reported by different authors between Er:YAG laser and air-rotor method in the effectiveness of caries removal (20, 21). The development of flowable composites improved the management of uncooperative patients. Some authors (22) proposed a self-adhesive flowable resin for the restoration of small class I cavities, class V cavities, non-curious cervical lesions, and for a lin-
ing in class I and class II restorations, pit and fissure sealings, and porcelain repairs (22). Salerno et al. showed remarkably strong elastic capacities of a self-adhesive flowable resin (23). Pacifici et al. (24) found as this new self-adhering flowable resin composite established on primary dentin bond strengths values similar to those of glass ionomer cements routinely used for restorations of primary teeth. From these re-

Figure 1. Wong Baker scale.

Figure 2. Case report of group A and C.
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Table 1. Results of technique acceptance from different groups of patients using Wong-Baker scale

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GROUP</th>
<th>0 (no hurt)</th>
<th>2 (hurts little bit)</th>
<th>4 (hurts little more)</th>
<th>6 (hurts even more)</th>
<th>8 (hurts whole lot)</th>
<th>10 (hurts worst)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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